

# Your Evaluation of ACC&D's 5th International Symposium



## 1. Overall, how would you rate ACC&D's 5th International Symposium?

	1. Poor				5. Excellent	Rating Average	Rating Count
Overall Rating	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.2% (2)	30.1% (28)	<b>67.7% (63)</b>	4.66	93
answered question							<b>93</b>
skipped question							<b>2</b>

## 2. How would you rate the overall symposium on the following attributes?

	1. Not at all		3. Somewhat		5. Extremely	Rating Average	Rating Count
Educational	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	5.3% (5)	35.8% (34)	<b>58.9% (56)</b>	4.54	95
Inspirational	0.0% (0)	1.1% (1)	8.4% (8)	35.8% (34)	<b>54.7% (52)</b>	4.44	95
Good for networking	0.0% (0)	1.1% (1)	5.3% (5)	27.4% (26)	<b>66.3% (63)</b>	4.59	95
Quality of speakers was high	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.4% (7)	39.4% (37)	<b>53.2% (50)</b>	4.46	94
answered question							<b>95</b>
skipped question							<b>0</b>

**3. How would you describe your current personal involvement advancing non-surgical alternatives in some way.**

	1. Not at all involved		3. Somewhat involved		5. Very involved	Rating Average	Rating Count
	1.1% (1)	12.6% (12)	33.7% (32)	15.8% (15)	<b>36.8% (35)</b>	3.75	95
	My comments:						30
	<b>answered question</b>						<b>95</b>
	<b>skipped question</b>						<b>0</b>

**4. Please describe your level of interest in non-surgical contraception or sterilization after the symposium as compared to before.**

	1. Less than before		3. The same as before		5. More than before	Rating Average	Rating Count
I see the NEED for non-surgical tools for dog and cat population control	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>44.2% (42)</b>	18.9% (18)	36.8% (35)	3.93	95
I am HOPEFUL we will see progress	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.1% (17)	38.3% (36)	<b>43.6% (41)</b>	4.26	94
I am INTERESTED in being involved in efforts in some way	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	28.3% (26)	28.3% (26)	<b>43.5% (40)</b>	4.15	92
	<b>answered question</b>						<b>95</b>
	<b>skipped question</b>						<b>0</b>

**5. In communicating about the results of this symposium, we would love an overall statement about your impressions of the symposium. Will you offer some thoughts/a quote below for that purpose?**

	Response Count
	64
answered question	64
skipped question	31

**6. Can we quote you by name?**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		76.5%	52
Please quote me anonymously		23.5%	16
	answered question		68
	skipped question		27

**7. We'd really appreciate your feedback on the Thursday night session and key general session  
Please use the drop-down menus to rate the following, and add comments at the bottom if you**

**Usefulness of Session**




	<b>1. Not at all</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Extremely useful</b>
Reproductive Biology 101 (optional session Thurs. night)	0.0% (0)	1.2% (1)	7.4% (6)	22.2% (18)	22.2% (18)
The Ethic of Innovation: Betsy Banks Saul	0.0% (0)	2.4% (2)	22.0% (18)	<b>32.9% (27)</b>	29.3% (24)
Understanding the need and products that could meet that need: Panel led by Dr. Elly Hiby:panelists representing work with International community animals, US feral cats, and rabies management	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (7)	32.1% (27)	<b>46.4% (39)</b>
Stakeholders Panel led by Dr. Steve Zawistowski: views from possible end users: the veterinary field, animal shelter, cat breeders and dog breeders/competitive sport field	0.0% (0)	1.2% (1)	8.4% (7)	32.5% (27)	<b>47.0% (39)</b>
Michelson Prize & Grants, the first five years, Dr. Shirley Johnston	0.0% (0)	1.2% (1)	18.1% (15)	28.9% (24)	<b>38.6% (32)</b>

**Quality of Speakers**

	<b>1. Very poor</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Excellent</b>
Reproductive Biology 101 (optional session Thurs. night)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.5% (3)	15.2% (10)	<b>47.0% (31)</b>
The Ethic of Innovation: Betsy Banks Saul	0.0% (0)	1.4% (1)	21.9% (16)	23.3% (17)	<b>46.6% (34)</b>
Understanding the need and products that could meet that need: Panel led by Dr. Elly Hiby:panelists representing work with International community animals, US feral cats, and rabies management	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.1% (7)	35.1% (27)	<b>48.1% (37)</b>

Stakeholders Panel led by Dr. Steve Zawistowski: views from possible end users: the veterinary field, animal shelter, cat breeders and dog breeders/competitive sport field	0.0% (0)	1.3% (1)	15.8% (12)	28.9% (22)	<b>48.7% (37)</b>
Michelson Prize & Grants, the first five years, Dr. Shirley Johnston	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.3% (7)	18.7% (14)	<b>65.3% (49)</b>


### 8. Did you participate in Speed Networking after Friday's lunch?

		Response Percent	Response Count
No, I'll note why not in my comment		47.3%	43
Yes, I'm glad I did !		50.5%	46
Yes, not my cup of tea.		2.2%	2
	Comments		60
	<b>answered question</b>		<b>91</b>
	<b>skipped question</b>		<b>4</b>

**9. Please rate key general sessions on Friday evening and Saturday morning using the drop down menu and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.**




**Usefulness of Session**

	<b>1. Not at all</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Extremely useful</b>
David Grimm, Banquet Keynote speaker: 'Cats,Dogs and the road to Personhood"	2.3% (2)	8.0% (7)	20.7% (18)	<b>27.6% (24)</b>	21.8% (19)
Approaches with Potential for near term impact, chaired by Cathy Moldave speakers providing an overview of Zeuterin, Calcium Chloride, GonaCon and Suprelorin.	1.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.0% (8)	18.0% (16)	<b>66.3% (59)</b>
Reproductive Hormones in the Dog: Friend or Foe	2.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	19.3% (17)	29.5% (26)	<b>31.8% (28)</b>
Different Strokes for Different Folks: Navigating Ethical Questions and Cultural Differences Partnering Technologies, Test Sites, and Target Audiences	0.0% (0)	5.7% (5)	22.7% (20)	28.4% (25)	<b>29.5% (26)</b>

**Quality of Speakers**

	<b>1. Very poor</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Excellent</b>
David Grimm, Banquet Keynote speaker: 'Cats,Dogs and the road to Personhood"	0.0% (0)	5.3% (4)	20.0% (15)	24.0% (18)	<b>40.0% (30)</b>
Approaches with Potential for near term impact, chaired by Cathy Moldave speakers providing an overview of Zeuterin, Calcium Chloride, GonaCon and Suprelorin.	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	9.8% (8)	32.9% (27)	<b>54.9% (45)</b>
Reproductive Hormones in the Dog: Friend or Foe	0.0% (0)	2.6% (2)	17.9% (14)	<b>35.9% (28)</b>	33.3% (26)
Different Strokes for Different Folks: Navigating Ethical Questions and Cultural Differences Partnering Technologies, Test Sites, and	1.3% (1)	3.8% (3)	16.3% (13)	32.5% (26)	<b>37.5% (30)</b>

**10. Which of the tracks did you attend? (If you spent time in both of the tracks, please indicate the one which you primarily came to attend, or in which you spent the most time or would like to rate.)**

		Response Percent	Response Count
I attended and would like to rate both tracks		21.1%	20
Sterilant/Contraceptive Discovery Track		31.6%	30
<b>The Field Implementation Track</b>		<b>47.4%</b>	<b>45</b>
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>95</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>0</b>

## 11. How well were your expectations met in attending the Sterilant/Contraceptives Discovery Track?

	1. Were not met		3. Met		5. Exceeded	didn't have this as an expectation	Rating Average	Rating Count
Learn about the latest findings and research	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27.6% (8)	<b>44.8%</b> <b>(13)</b>	24.1% (7)	3.4% (1)	3.96	29
Connect with others involved in this field	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	33.3% (10)	<b>36.7%</b> <b>(11)</b>	23.3% (7)	6.7% (2)	3.89	30
Get support for my project	0.0% (0)	6.9% (2)	10.3% (3)	13.8% (4)	0.0% (0)	<b>69.0% (20)</b>	3.22	29
Other (Please describe below)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>100.0% (2)</b>	0.00	2
							<b>answered question</b>	<b>30</b>
							<b>skipped question</b>	<b>65</b>

## 12. If you had an "Other" expectation, please describe below.

	Response Count
	2
<b>answered question</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>93</b>



**13. We'd really appreciate your feedback on the key sessions in this track. Please use the dropdown menu to select a rating from 1 to 5, and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.**



**Usefulness of Session**

	<b>1. Not at all</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Extremely useful</b>
Immunological Approaches to Contraception/Sterilization of Cats and Dogs: Session Chair: Dr. Linda Rhodes	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.9% (2)	20.7% (6)	<b>58.6% (17)</b>
Target: The Gonads – Inactivation and/or Destruction of Gonadal Stem Cells: Session Chair: Dr. Michelle Kutzler	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.4% (1)	27.6% (8)	<b>44.8% (13)</b>
GnRH Agonists and Antagonists: Laboratory and Field Learning: Session Chair: Dr. Cheryl Asa	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.4% (1)	20.7% (6)	<b>62.1% (18)</b>
Unique Approaches to the Challenge: Session Chair: Dr. Donal Skinner	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.9% (2)	<b>41.4% (12)</b>	<b>41.4% (12)</b>

**Quality of Speakers**

	<b>1. Very poor</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Excellent</b>
Immunological Approaches to Contraception/Sterilization of Cats and Dogs: Session Chair: Dr. Linda Rhodes	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	4.3% (1)	26.1% (6)	<b>65.2% (15)</b>
Target: The Gonads – Inactivation and/or Destruction of Gonadal Stem Cells: Session Chair: Dr. Michelle Kutzler	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.7% (2)	21.7% (5)	<b>52.2% (12)</b>
GnRH Agonists and Antagonists: Laboratory and Field Learning: Session Chair: Dr. Cheryl Asa	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (2)	20.8% (5)	<b>62.5% (15)</b>
Unique Approaches to the Challenge: Session Chair: Dr. Donal Skinner	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.7% (2)	34.6% (9)	<b>46.2% (12)</b>

## 14. Please click here to continue

		Response Percent	Response Count
Click here to finish the survey		80.0%	24
Click here to give feedback on the Zeuterin training session		20.0%	6
<b>answered question</b>			<b>30</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>65</b>

## 15. How well were your expectations met in attending the Field Implementation Track?

	1. Were not met		3. Met		5. Exceeded	didn't have this as an expectation	Rating Average	Rating Count
Learn about the latest findings and research	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	18.6% (8)	<b>55.8% (24)</b>	25.6% (11)	0.0% (0)	4.07	43
Connect with others involved in this field	0.0% (0)	2.3% (1)	25.6% (11)	32.6% (14)	<b>34.9% (15)</b>	4.7% (2)	4.05	43
Get support for my project	2.3% (1)	2.3% (1)	9.3% (4)	16.3% (7)	9.3% (4)	<b>60.5% (26)</b>	3.71	43
Other (Please describe below)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	10.0% (1)	<b>90.0% (9)</b>	5.00	10
<b>answered question</b>								<b>43</b>
<b>skipped question</b>								<b>52</b>

**16. We'd really appreciate your feedback on the key sessions in this track. Please use the dropdown menu to select your rating for each of the following, and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.**

**Usefulness of Session**

	<b>1. Not at all</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Excellent</b>
Focus on Felines: Tools to Help you Plan your Programs and Measure your Success: population modeling results, field guidance and support in 'counting cats'	0.0% (0)	2.6% (1)	5.3% (2)	21.1% (8)	<b>42.1% (16)</b>
Sterilized or Not? Methods of marking animals and Think Tank results	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.5% (5)	18.9% (7)	<b>56.8% (21)</b>
Population Dynamics of Free-roaming Dogs	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	2.7% (1)	29.7% (11)	<b>48.6% (18)</b>
Getting Involved in Field Testing of Non-surgical Sterilants: Lessons Learned, and what Organizations and Veterinarians Should Consider when Getting Involved	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.2% (5)	26.3% (10)	<b>57.9% (22)</b>
State and Local Regulations: Preparing the Way for Use of New Non-surgical Tools	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.5% (5)	35.1% (13)	<b>40.5% (15)</b>
Zeuterin™/EsterilSol™: Intratesticular Injectable Sterilant for Male Dogs panel and Behavior Study	0.0% (0)	5.4% (2)	8.1% (3)	18.9% (7)	<b>54.1% (20)</b>

**Quality of Speakers**

	<b>1. Very poor</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Excellent</b>
Focus on Felines: Tools to Help you Plan your Programs and Measure your Success: population modeling results, field guidance and support in 'counting cats'	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.3% (4)	30.0% (9)	<b>43.3% (13)</b>
Sterilized or Not? Methods of marking animals and Think Tank	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	17.1% (6)	14.3% (5)	<b>57.1% (20)</b>



## 18. How well were your expectations met in attending the Sterilant/Contraceptives Discovery Track?

	1. Were not met		3. Met		5. Exceeded	didn't have this as an expectation	Rating Average	Rating Count
Find out about the latest findings and research	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	23.5% (4)	<b>47.1%</b> <b>(8)</b>	29.4% (5)	0.0% (0)	4.06	17
Connect with others involved in this field	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>35.3%</b> <b>(6)</b>	<b>35.3%</b> <b>(6)</b>	23.5% (4)	5.9% (1)	3.88	17
Get support for my project	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (1)	6.3% (1)	18.8% (3)	<b>68.8% (11)</b>	4.40	16
Other (Please describe below)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (1)	<b>83.3% (5)</b>	5.00	6
<b>answered question</b>								<b>17</b>
<b>skipped question</b>								<b>78</b>

## 19. If you had an "Other" expectation, please describe below.

	Response Count
	2
<b>answered question</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>93</b>

**20. We'd really appreciate your feedback on the key sessions in this track. Please use the dropdown menu to select a rating from the following, and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.**

#### Usefulness of Session

	1. Not at all	2.	3. Average	4.	5. Extremely useful
Immunological Approaches to Contraception/Sterilization of Cats and Dogs: Session Chair: Dr. Linda Rhodes	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	11.8% (2)	23.5% (4)	<b>47.1% (8)</b>
Target: The Gonads – Inactivation and/or Destruction of Gonadal Stem Cells: Session Chair: Dr. Michelle Kutzler	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	14.3% (2)	28.6% (4)
GnRH Agonists and Antagonists: Laboratory and Field Learning: Session Chair: Dr. Cheryl Asa	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.3% (2)	6.7% (1)	26.7% (4)
Unique Approaches to the Challenge: Session Chair: Dr. Donal Skinner	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	14.3% (2)	28.6% (4)

#### Quality of Speakers

	1. Very poor	2.	3. Average	4.	5. Excellent
Immunological Approaches to Contraception/Sterilization of Cats and Dogs: Session Chair: Dr. Linda Rhodes	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.3% (2)	26.7% (4)	<b>46.7% (7)</b>
Target: The Gonads – Inactivation and/or Destruction of Gonadal Stem Cells: Session Chair: Dr. Michelle Kutzler	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	<b>33.3% (4)</b>	16.7% (2)
GnRH Agonists and Antagonists: Laboratory and Field Learning: Session Chair: Dr. Cheryl Asa	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	8.3% (1)	25.0% (3)
Unique Approaches to the Challenge: Session Chair: Dr. Donal Skinner	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	8.3% (1)	16.7% (2)	33.3% (4)

## 21. How well were your expectations met in attending the Field Implementation Track?

	1. Were not met		3. Met		5. Exceeded	didn't have this as an expectation	Rating Average	Rating Count
Find out about the latest findings and research	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	17.6% (3)	<b>41.2%</b> <b>(7)</b>	35.3% (6)	5.9% (1)	4.19	17
Connect with others involved in this field	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	23.5% (4)	<b>29.4%</b> <b>(5)</b>	<b>29.4% (5)</b>	17.6% (3)	4.07	17
Get support for my project	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (1)	6.3% (1)	18.8% (3)	<b>68.8% (11)</b>	4.40	16
Other (Please describe below)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	20.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	<b>80.0% (4)</b>	3.00	5
						Other (please specify)		2
						<b>answered question</b>		<b>17</b>
						<b>skipped question</b>		<b>78</b>

**22. We'd really appreciate your feedback on the key sessions in this track. Please use the drop-down menu to select a rating from the following, and add comments at the bottom if you'd like.**

**Usefulness of Session**

	<b>1. Not at all</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Extremely useful</b>
Focus on Felines: Tools to Help you Plan your Programs and Measure your Success: population modeling results, field guidance and support in 'counting cats'	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (2)	18.8% (3)	18.8% (3)
Sterilized or Not? Methods of marking animals and Think Tank results	0.0% (0)	6.3% (1)	6.3% (1)	18.8% (3)	12.5% (2)
Population Dynamics of Free-roaming Dogs	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	13.3% (2)	13.3% (2)	33.3% (5)
Getting Involved in Field Testing of Non-surgical Sterilants: Lessons Learned, and what Organizations and Veterinarians Should Consider when Getting Involved	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (1)	12.5% (2)	<b>62.5% (10)</b>
State and Local Regulations: Preparing the Way for Use of New Non-surgical Tools	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.3% (1)	<b>43.8% (7)</b>	18.8% (3)
Zeuterin™/EsterilSol™: Intratesticular Injectable Sterilant for Male Dogs panel	6.3% (1)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (2)	25.0% (4)	<b>37.5% (6)</b>




**Quality of Speakers**

	<b>1. Very poor</b>	<b>2.</b>	<b>3. Average</b>	<b>4.</b>	<b>5. Excellent</b>
Focus on Felines: Tools to Help you Plan your Programs and Measure your Success: population modeling results, field guidance and support in 'counting cats'	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	15.4% (2)	15.4% (2)	30.8% (4)
Sterilized or Not? Methods of marking animals and Think Tank	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	16.7% (2)	25.0% (3)	16.7% (2)






results					
Population Dynamics of Free-roaming Dogs	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	21.4% (3)	28.6% (4)
Getting Involved in Field Testing of Non-surgical Sterilants: Lessons Learned, and what Organizations and Veterinarians Should Consider when Getting Involved	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	14.3% (2)	28.6% (4)	<b>50.0% (7)</b>
State and Local Regulations: Preparing the Way for Use of New Non-surgical Tools	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	15.4% (2)	<b>38.5% (5)</b>	30.8% (4)
Zeuterin™/EsterilsoI™: Intratesticular Injectable Sterilant for Male Dogs panel	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	<b>53.3% (8)</b>	20.0% (3)





### 23. Are you affiliated with an organization using or considering using Zeuterin for male dogs ?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		27.8%	5
No		61.1%	11
No, but I would like to rate the session		11.1%	2
<b>answered question</b>			<b>18</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>77</b>





## 24. My Zeuterin/EsterilSol experience and plans: (check any that apply)

		Response Percent	Response Count
My organization has (or I have) used Zeuterin or EsterilSol		42.9%	12
My organization (or I) hopes to begin using Zeuterin soon		10.7%	3
<b>My organization (or I) is considering using Zeuterin</b>		<b>50.0%</b>	<b>14</b>
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>28</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>67</b>

## 25. Check any of the statements that describe why you attended this Saturday afternoon session.

		Response Percent	Response Count
I came to this session to learn more about Zeuterin so that I can educate others		48.1%	13
<b>I came to this session to learn more about Zeuterin so that my organization (or I) can decide whether or not to use the product</b>		<b>51.9%</b>	<b>14</b>
My organization has decided to use Zeuterin and I came to this session to receive training in administration		11.1%	3
		Other (please specify)	5
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>27</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>68</b>

## 26. Did you attend the Sunday special Zeuterin/Esterilsol training session?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, to become certified		28.1%	9
Yes, as a volunteer or trainer		21.9%	7
Yes, as an observer		9.4%	3
<b>I did not attend this session</b>		<b>40.6%</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>answered question</b>			<b>32</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>63</b>

## 27. After attending the Zeuterin/Esterilsol session at the symposium or the special training I feel...

	1. Very		2. Somewhat		5. Not at all	Rating Average	Rating Count
Knowledgeable about the characteristics of the product	<b>66.7% (16)</b>	20.8% (5)	4.2% (1)	8.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	1.54	24
Able to make a decision or recommendation about whether or not Zeuterin is an appropriate "tool" for my organization or program	<b>58.3% (14)</b>	29.2% (7)	0.0% (0)	12.5% (3)	0.0% (0)	1.67	24
Adequately prepared to begin injecting dogs with Zeuterin	<b>54.2% (13)</b>	8.3% (2)	12.5% (3)	12.5% (3)	12.5% (3)	2.21	24
<b>answered question</b>							<b>24</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>71</b>

**28. Please describe your anticipated use of Zeuterin/Esterilsol, if any, moving forward.**

	<b>Response Count</b>
	16
<b>answered question</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>79</b>

**29. What do you feel was the most valuable part of this symposium?**

	<b>Response Count</b>
	76
<b>answered question</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>19</b>







**30. What do you feel could have been improved about this symposium?**

	<b>Response Count</b>
	68
<b>answered question</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>27</b>






**31. What action, if any, will you be taking personally to carry this work forward?**

	<b>Response Count</b>
	65
<b>answered question</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>30</b>

**32. Below are projects that ACC&D has initiated and/or might be involved with moving forward. Would you like to be connected with an 'interest group' on any of these topics? You may check more than one if desired.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Visible ID: Developing new method/s of visible ID for sterilized/contracepted dogs and cats		62.3%	38
Population Modeling CATS: Additional work implementing population modeling in the field		39.3%	24
Population Modeling DOGS: Additional work implementing population modeling in the field		41.0%	25
Ethics: Discussion of the ethical issues surrounding introduction, trialing, and use of new treatments in the field		44.3%	27
<b>Field Trialing: Testing, tracking, and assessment of new products and options in the field</b>		<b>70.5%</b>	<b>43</b>
Other: Do you have new ideas or suggestions? Please describe – we'd love to get your input		11.5%	7
		Other (please specify)	15
<b>answered question</b>			<b>61</b>
<b>skipped question</b>			<b>34</b>

### 33. How many ACC&D symposia have you attended, including this one, the 5th International Symposium?

		Response Percent	Response Count
One		61.8%	55
Two		23.6%	21
Three		5.6%	5
Four		6.7%	6
Five		2.2%	2
answered question			89
skipped question			6

### 34. How likely would you be to attend a future symposium?

	1. Not at all		3. Somewhat		5. Extremely	Rating Average	Rating Count
Likelihood to attend	0.0% (0)	4.6% (4)	12.6% (11)	26.4% (23)	56.3% (49)	4.34	87
answered question							87
skipped question							8

### 35. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about your experience?

	Response Count
	39
answered question	39
skipped question	56

**36. Please note your primary affiliation/s. You may check more than one if appropriate.**

		Response Percent	Response Count
A scientist/researcher with an academic institution		25.6%	23
A scientist/researcher working in industry		4.4%	4
An animal health or pharmaceutical business professional		6.7%	6
Another pet industry professional		2.2%	2
<b>Veterinary profession or representing the veterinary profession</b>		<b>32.2%</b>	<b>29</b>
Veterinary student		5.6%	5
Other student		0.0%	0
An animal welfare advocate or professional		22.2%	20
Representative of a foundation or trust, or an investor		13.3%	12
Government employee		5.6%	5
Public health professional		0.0%	0
Other (please specify)		20.0%	18
		<b>answered question</b>	<b>90</b>
		<b>skipped question</b>	<b>5</b>